"Walter Veith & Martin Smith – 'Sons of God; daughters of men' Who are they? – What's up Prof? 45" was published on YouTube on 1/7/21 by Amazing Discoveries Africa."

Regarding the Jesuit oath (discussed about 1:25), I was fortunate to obtain an original book titled *Bible Burners* by John Dowling that was published in 1843. The end of this book contains the Jesuit oath. The book is available as a reprint on eBay.

At 5:38 the question posted in part reads, "'the Sons of God'.. does that mean Angels? And if not.. how do we know it's not talking about Angels? Were the giants a product of the fallen angels and the daughters of men? I understand that the angels cannot procreate, but what if they took the form of men?"

Walter says, "when the Bible speaks about the sons of God, uh, it could be a reference to a angels because the sons of God sang together when this world was created." He notes that Adam is called "son of God." The only reference in the King James Version (KJV) where Adam is called "the son of God" is found in Luke 3:38. He concludes that humans can be called sons of God if their lives are in harmony with God's will. Luke 3:38 calls Adam the son of God because he had no earthly father or mother and that doesn't apply to succeeding humans. I agree with Walter's explanation but the reason for the confusion is fake bibles. The question is easily answered with the commonly available Protestant English Bible.

> "And it came to pass, when men began to multiply on the face of the earth, and daughters were born unto them, that the sons of God saw the daughters of men that they were fair; and they took them wives of all which they chose. There were giants in the earth in those days; and also after that, when the sons of God came in unto the daughters of men, and they bare children to them, the same became mighty men which were of old, men of renown." Genesis 6:1-2, 4 from the KJV.
>
> "For as many as are led by the Spirit of God, they are the sons of God." Romans 8:14 from the KJV.
>
> "For in the resurrection they neither marry, nor are given in marriage, but are as the angels of God in heaven." Matthew 22:30 from the KJV.

It's not so easy with the Today's English Version (TEV), a Roman Catholic bible from which fifteen readings have been used in the back of the *Seventh-day Adventist Hymnal*.

> "When people had spread over the world, and daughters were being born, some of the heavenly beings saw that these young women were beautiful, so they took the ones they liked. In those days, and even later, there were giants on the earth who were descendants of human women and the heavenly beings. They were the great heroes and famous men of long ago." Genesis 6:1-2, 4 from the TEV.
>
> "When the day came for the heavenly beings to appear before the LORD, Satan was there among them." Job 1:6 from the TEV.
>
> "For when the dead rise to life, they will be like the angels in heaven and will not marry." Matthew 22:30 from the TEV.

There is no way to prove from the TEV that fallen angels didn't have sexual intercourse with human women and have children by them. You can forget using "sons of God" because that phrase doesn't occur in Genesis 6:1-2, 4 in the TEV. Job 1:6 makes it clear that Satan, a fallen angel, was considered a heavenly being so there would be no reason that the other fallen angels wouldn't be heavenly beings.

You may think Matthew 22:30 helps. It doesn't help much because you could say the angels in heaven won't marry but maybe fallen angels did.

If you're ambitious, you can look up other verses that in the KJV say "sons of God." Besides the ones listed above they are, Job 2:1, Job 38:7, John 1:12, Romans 8:19, Philippians 2:15, 1 John 3:1-2. There are other bibles like the New International Version (NIV) and the New Revised Standard Version that have made it appear that fallen angels procreated with humans in Genesis 6.

At 25:44 the question was asked, "I went to a church where the man preaching quoted Revelation 22 where Jesus said 'I am the bright and morning star', and then proceeded to tell the congregation that Lucifer means morning star, so Jesus will take the name Lucifer at the end of time. Any help please." Walter says, "So there is no evidence at all in the Scripture that he will call himself Lucifer. No."

That depends on what you call "Scripture." In this case the preacher has been confused by fake bibles and has then confused his congregation. The only verse in any bible that names Lucifer is Isaiah 14:12 and the majority of new bible versions have replaced the name Lucifer with a title for Jesus Christ.

> "How art thou fallen from heaven, O Lucifer, son of the morning! how art thou cut down to the ground, which didst weaken the nations!" Isaiah 14:12 from the KJV.
>
> "How you have fallen from heaven, morning star, son of the dawn! You have been cast down to the earth, you who once laid low the nations!" Isaiah 14:12 from the NIV.
>
> "I Jesus have sent mine angel to testify unto you these things in the churches. I am the root and the offspring of David, and the bright and morning star." Revelation 22:16 from the KJV.
>
> "'I, Jesus, have sent my angel to give you this testimony for the churches. I am the Root and the Offspring of David, and the bright Morning Star." Revelation 22:16 from the NIV.

In the *Sabbath School Bible Study Guide*, a.k.a. lesson quarterly, for the first quarter of 2021, the NIV is called "Scripture" and is used for six readings.

At 28:24 Walter says Satan has tried to get rid of the Bible. It's concluded that Satan hasn't been able to get rid of the Bible but has made the Scriptures hard to find. If Satan can't entirely destroy the Scriptures it's to his advantage to make people doubt their infallibility by inspiring fake bibles. You will be hard pressed find anyone who believes there is any English Bible on earth without any error at all.