

A video titled “Has the Bible Been Changed? And more | 3ABN Bible Q & A” was posted on the Three Angels Broadcasting Network (3ABN) YouTube channel on 7/3/24. You can find the video here:

[https://youtu.be/7t9dzC2FiXc?si=3LQAqiHy27wm\\_d0gD](https://youtu.be/7t9dzC2FiXc?si=3LQAqiHy27wm_d0gD)

---

There are three speakers in this video. There’s JD Quinn the 3ABN Pastoral Department Manager, James Rafferty the 3ABN Director of Discipleship and Shelly Quinn, wife of JD Quinn, who is the 3ABN Program Development Manager/Producer. They shall be hereafter referred to as JD, Jim and Shelly respectively.

At 0:53 Shelly says, “It’s always a joy, we just want to thank those of you at home for sending in your questions and it’s so much fun to get in the Bible and an, and try to figure out what to give you in a short amount of time to answer that but we thank you for your participation.” Notice that “the Bible” in the title is singular meaning one Bible and Shelly just said “the Bible.”

It doesn’t take long to learn what JD’s “the Bible” is. At 3:07 he reads Psalms 119:105 from the New King James Version (NKJV). Or it could be the English Standard Version (ESV) or the New Revised Standard Version (NRSV) and maybe others because they read exactly the same and the verse and version isn’t posted for all to see. I’ll give him the benefit of the doubt and assume his “the Bible” is the NKJV. JD lifts his “the Bible” and says, “Here, is the light. This is from; this is Jesus Himself talking to us, sharing His thoughts, being interpreted by those that are inspired to put it into writing.”

Jim gets to answer the first question and it’s about Isaiah 66:22-24. Jim reads it from KJVER® which is advertised as “The Trusted King James in an Easy Read Format ™”. Since hard words in the King James Version (KJV) like ye, saith, etc. are too hard to read they have been changed to you, says, etc.

Hundreds of years ago, the Roman Catholic Church withheld the Bible from the common people claiming that the average person wasn’t qualified to read and understand it. For as long as they could, they kept God’s Word locked up in languages no one but the highly educated could understand. The agenda hasn’t changed though the method has. Now a profusion of fake “the Bible” are produced for the alleged purpose of making it available in a form that’s easier to read. I haven’t found anything in the KJVER that’s false except for some misleading word definitions. The KJVER is a “the Bible” that teaches you to believe the Bible is too hard to understand in English and prepares you to use something worse like the NKJV, ESV, etc.

“Error is never harmless. It never sanctifies, but always brings confusion and dissension. It is always dangerous.” *Testimonies for the Church* volume 5 page 292.

At 6:49 Jim reads Isaiah 28:14-21. He didn’t read it exactly as in either the KJV or the KJVER though it was close to both. As he continued to read down to Isaiah 28:21, he read “wroth” as in the KJV; the KJVER uses the easier to understand word “angry” so he’s apparently using the KJV.

At 9:10 Jim mentions Ezekiel 28:16-19 and reads it from the KJV. There is no problem in that passage in the KJV or the KJVER but there is a big problem in JD's and Shelly's "the Bible."

“You were the anointed cherub who covers; I established you; You were on the holy mountain of God; You walked back and forth in the midst of fiery stones. You were perfect in your ways from the day you were created, Till iniquity was found in you. ‘By the abundance of your trading You became filled with violence within, And you sinned; Therefore I cast you as a profane thing Out of the mountain of God; And I destroyed you, O covering cherub, From the midst of the fiery stones. ‘Your heart was lifted up because of your beauty; You corrupted your wisdom for the sake of your splendor; I cast you to the ground, I laid you before kings, That they might gaze at you. ‘You defiled your sanctuaries By the multitude of your iniquities, By the iniquity of your trading; Therefore I brought fire from your midst; It devoured you, And I turned you to ashes upon the earth In the sight of all who saw you. All who knew you among the peoples are astonished at you; You have become a horror, And shall be no more forever.’” Ezekiel 28:14-19 (NKJV).

“Thou art the anointed cherub that covereth; and I have set thee so: thou wast upon the holy mountain of God; thou hast walked up and down in the midst of the stones of fire. Thou wast perfect in thy ways from the day that thou wast created, till iniquity was found in thee. By the multitude of thy merchandise they have filled the midst of thee with violence, and thou hast sinned: therefore I will cast thee as profane out of the mountain of God: and I will destroy thee, O covering cherub, from the midst of the stones of fire. Thine heart was lifted up because of thy beauty, thou hast corrupted thy wisdom by reason of thy brightness: I will cast thee to the ground, I will lay thee before kings, that they may behold thee. Thou hast defiled thy sanctuaries by the multitude of thine iniquities, by the iniquity of thy traffick; therefore will I bring forth a fire from the midst of thee, it shall devour thee, and I will bring thee to ashes upon the earth in the sight of all them that behold thee. All they that know thee among the people shall be astonished at thee: thou shalt be a terror, and never shalt thou be any more.” Ezekiel 28:14-19 (KJV).

This passage is about Lucifer who became Satan. The NKJV, is the “the Bible” JD picked up and said, “Here, is the light. This is from; this is Jesus Himself talking to us, sharing His thoughts, being interpreted by those that are inspired to put it into writing.” If you believe JD's “the Bible” you have to believe Satan has been turned to ashes and is dead because his punishment is recorded in past tense.

At 10:55 Shelly starts to explain the question, “Can you explain Exodus 23:20-23.” Shelly thinks it's a great question. She reads that passage from the NKJV. At 13:18 Shelly says, “So, here's the first thing you need to understand. The word in the Hebrew is *malak*, m, a, l, a, k, and it is translated just as many times messenger, 'bout an equal time angel. So the word angel is messenger of the Lord and when God says my angel or the angel of the Lord, we believe, many Bible scholars believe, this is the preincarnate Christ. And I believe that this is proven in, within context of the Bible. Jesus was not Jesus til He was given that name at his incarnation when he took on flesh. He was the coequal person of the Godhead and he frequently appeared as the angel of the Lord. For example in Exodus three thirteen and fourteen when he appears to Moses, the angel of the Lord in the burning bush, and then Moses asks his name. And the angel of the Lord says, I Am

who I Am. Thus you shall say to the children of Israel, I Am has sent me to you. The interesting thing is, the angel of the Lord is always distinct from the Father and He never appears again after the incarnation when the person of Jesus Christ was born.”

I’m not sure why Shelly is talking about Exodus 3:13-14 because there is no “angel” in that passage.

“Then Moses said to God, 'Indeed, when I come to the children of Israel and say to them, 'The God of your fathers has sent me to you,' and they say to me, 'What is His name?' what shall I say to them?' And God said to Moses, "I AM WHO I AM." And He said, ‘Thus you shall say to the children of Israel, 'I AM has sent me to you.’” Exodus 3:13-14 (NKJV).

It is clear from an earlier part of that chapter that without relying on any Hebrew word, the Angel of the Lord was “God” because it says so right in that passage.

“And the Angel of the LORD appeared to him in a flame of fire from the midst of a bush. So he looked, and behold, the bush was burning with fire, but the bush was not consumed. Then Moses said, ‘I will now turn aside and see this great sight, why the bush does not burn.’ So when the LORD saw that he turned aside to look, God called to him from the midst of the bush and said, "Moses, Moses!’ And he said, ‘Here I am.’ Then He said, ‘Do not draw near this place. Take your sandals off your feet, for the place where you stand is holy ground.’ Moreover He said, ‘I am the God of your father—the God of Abraham, the God of Isaac, and the God of Jacob.’ And Moses hid his face, for he was afraid to look upon God.” Exodus 3:2-6 (NKJV).

Shelly has said the Hebrew word *malak* is translated as “angel” and “messenger.” Here is the definition of *malak* from *Strong’s Exhaustive Concordance of the Bible*.

“**mal’âk**, *mal-awk*’; from an unused root mean. to *dispatch* as a deputy; a *messenger*; spec. of God, i.e. an *angel* (also a prophet, priest or teacher):—ambassador, angel, king, messenger.”

The vast majority of the time the ONLY reason, for telling English speaking people what the Hebrew or Greek word is, is to impress with their erudition. They get by with that because hardly any Christian has a concordance with the meanings of Greek and Hebrew words or if they have one, they’re not too motivated to get it out.

The *malak* thing isn’t the questionable part of Shelly’s statement. She said, “So the word angel is messenger of the Lord and when God says my angel or the angel of the Lord, we believe, many Bible scholars believe, this is the preincarnate Christ.” In Exodus 3:2-6, “angel” does mean the preincarnate Christ but is that true of all places in the Bible where the phrase “angel of the Lord” occurs?

The phrase “my angel” only occurs only four times in Shelly’s “the Bible” and three of those times that phrase does refer to the preincarnate Christ.

“I, Jesus, have sent My angel to testify to you these things in the churches. I am the Root and the Offspring of David, the Bright and Morning Star.” Revelation 22:16 (NKJV).

If Jesus “sent” the angel, the angel obviously wasn’t the preincarnate Christ. How about the phrase “the angel of the Lord?”

“Then Joseph, being aroused from sleep, did as the angel of the Lord commanded him and took to him his wife, and did not know her till she had brought forth her firstborn Son. And he called His name JESUS.” Matthew 1:24-25 (NKJV).

The context of Matthew 1:24-25 was the instruction given by “the angel of the Lord” to Joseph after explaining to Joseph that Mary was pregnant by the Holy Ghost. If Mary was pregnant with Jesus, Jesus was already in the flesh and couldn’t have been “the angel of the Lord.”

Here’s another “the angel of the Lord.”

“Therefore thus says the LORD concerning the king of Assyria: 'He shall not come into this city, Nor shoot an arrow there, Nor come before it with shield, Nor build a siege mound against it. By the way that he came, By the same shall he return; And he shall not come into this city,' Says the LORD. 'For I will defend this city, to save it For My own sake and for My servant David's sake.' Then the angel of the LORD went out, and killed in the camp of the Assyrians one hundred and eighty-five thousand; and when people arose early in the morning, there were the corpses-all dead.” Isaiah 37:33-36 (NKJV).

If you wish to claim that the preincarnate Jesus Christ was the One who led the children of Israel, then in this passage “the angel of the Lord” would be one of the preincarnate Jesus Christ’s angels and not the preincarnate LORD Jesus Christ Himself. Here is another passage that casts doubt on the theory that the preincarnate Jesus Christ was the One who killed the Assyrians as recorded in Isaiah 37:33-36.

“A single angel destroyed all the first-born of the Egyptians and filled the land with mourning. When David offended against God by numbering the people, one angel caused that terrible destruction by which his sin was punished. The same destructive power exercised by holy angels when God commands, will be exercised by evil angels when He permits.” *The Great Controversy*, page 614.

It seems pretty clear when “angels” plural is used, it probably was not the same angel each time. Therefore “the angel of the Lord” mentioned in Isaiah 37:33-36 was probably not the preincarnate Jesus Christ but rather an angel under His command.

You don’t need to know any Hebrew or Greek to understand this.

At 16:17 the discussion starts about another question; “As Christians we believe the whole Bible to be infallible Word of God. How would you respond to the people who say that the Bible has been changed?” Jim says the Bible has been changed. Very good Jim. Jim says that according to Google there are 3,141 versions of the bible. According to Jim, “So, just because we have all these changes does not necessitate the conclusion that the reliability of the bible changed.” Just previous to this Jim mentioned the fact that in some bibles “the Lord’s day” had been changed to “Sunday”

in Revelation 1:10. How can it be that doing that doesn't affect the reliability of the Bible? How are you to test reliability if you have no perfect standard? Jim says "the devil would love to have us come to that conclusion;" that would be the conclusion that the Bible isn't reliable. Actually, that is EXACTLY what the Devil is accomplishing.

Jim says "there are some very reliable versions of the bible available for our study today." He then says he believes "the good old KJV" is the most reliable. He then says the KJV is "harder for some people to read." The "harder to read" claim about the KJV has been promoted by Satan for a LONG time so people would accept his easier to read fake bibles. The KJV was written for fairly unlearned common people and shouldn't hard to read if you're motivated and have an average brain. After all, kids learn to speak and read and write things like Finish, Russian, Chinese, and other languages. If there is some difficulty understanding English words, try an English dictionary.

Jim goes on to say, "It's very accurate theologically, though it does come with some flaws as humans were used by God in the production and of course in the translation from the Greek." Jim, et. al., need to review what Sister White said about the Bible and the ENGLISH definition of "infallible."

"Man is fallible, but God's Word is infallible." *Selected Messages Book One* page 416.

"**infallible**... *adj.* **1** incapable of error. **2** (of a method, test, proof, etc.) unailing; sure to succeed. **3** *RC Ch.* (of the pope) unable to err in pronouncing dogma as doctrinally defined." *Oxford English Reference Dictionary* (revised second edition).

If there are "some flaws" in a "the Bible" then it's not infallible.

Jim rightly says God has promised to preserve His word and "that there's a number of versions including the KJV that are a fulfillment this promise." Sadly, Jim didn't disclose which versions were among the "number of versions" aside from the KJV that fulfill the promise.

At 20:00 Jim talks about the Dead Sea Scrolls. The Roman Catholic Church and Satan desperately want you to believe there could be flaws in your Bible, like Jim said, so they try to come up with new information that brings into question what is recorded in the English Protestant Bible they never wanted you to have in the first place. You don't need the Dead Sea Scrolls or any other of their inventions. Sister White said the Bible is "infallible" and she was referring to the KJV because that's what she always preached from.

Jim is actually well ahead of many. He noted that the profusion of bible versions is Satan's device to make us confused about what the word of God teaches. A BIG AMEN to that brother!

At 21:08 Shelly says she can't read "the old King James Version" because her "mind just won't go there." She says, "So like the New King James Version is still a literal translation. Some of the words that were changed were because that they found mo, more Greek manuscripts an, and with each archalog, every time the archaeologists find something more we get a little bit understanding, better understanding of some words." She says she likes the Amplified Version and also refers to

the New American Standard Bible. She then claims that “the message of God hasn’t changed.” Jim said “that’s right” and JD said “amen” which means they’re all confused.

Oh yes, the message of God has changed in the NKJV, the Amplified Version (AMP), the New American Standard Bible (NASB) and many more and Shelly has just advertised them on a public forum as being good bibles. You don’t need the Dead Sea Scrolls, archaeology, Greek or Hebrew to know what the infallible KJV says in English. Archaeology, Greek and Hebrew are Satan’s tools to make you doubt what the real Bible says. We already discussed how what happens to Satan is in past tense in Ezekiel 28 in the NKJV; the NASB, the AMP and many other bibles have the same error. Here’s something from my AMP that may interest you.

“For Christ [the Messiah Himself] died for sins once for all, the Righteous for the unrighteous (the Just for the unjust, the Innocent for the guilty), that He might bring us to God. In His human body He was put to death, but He was made alive in the spirit, In which He went and preached to the spirits in prison, [The souls of those] who long before in the days of Noah had been disobedient, when God’s patience waited during the building of the ark in which a few [people], actually eight in number, were saved through water. [Gen. 6-8.] 1 Peter 3:18-20 (AMP).

What the AMP says in pretty plain easy to read English like Shelly likes is that Christ was resurrected “in the spirit” (that would be without a body) and that His resurrected and conscious spirit preached to the “spirits” or “souls” of the wicked people that had died in the flood. You can find the same gross error in the New International Version, the English Standard Version and other “the Bible” frequently used and kind of believed by Seventh-day Adventists. It would probably help Shelly’s mind if she would just buckle down and learn what the KJV says in English and not worry about Greek and Hebrew words she’ll never have any practical use for.

---

[www.SatanIsDead.com](http://www.SatanIsDead.com)

[www.InfallibleBible.com](http://www.InfallibleBible.com)

[www.DeathConfusion.com](http://www.DeathConfusion.com)

[www.AdventistsToday.com](http://www.AdventistsToday.com)

[www.SabbathSchoolGuide.com](http://www.SabbathSchoolGuide.com)