On 6/4/24, "Is KJV Reliable? || I'd Like to Know" was posted on the YouTube secretsunsealed channel. Pastors Stephen Bohr, a.k.a., Esteban Bohr, C. A. Murray and David Salazar are the alleged purveyors of truth. These men will be known hereafter in this review as Steve or Stevie, CA and Dave respectively. CA has the center chair and seems to be in charge of the discussion. If you wish to watch the video, you can watch it at:

https://youtu.be/L67GGB0Qhh0?si=3bPG1iMy6LK2Gp I.

At 12:54 CA gets to the Bible question that a previous listener to Secrets Unsealed had. He says, "Ah, I very much enjoy your presentations and I've learned a great deal. In your presentation of the twenty-four elders, I was concerned about the KJV, King James Version, being described as a wrong or bad translation and started to think about how it could be explained as to who exactly is redeemed, in quotes, so it makes sense in preserving the integrity of the translated word. Uh, dispute about the correctness of the translation might have th, might have a detrimental on people hearing that explanation who may not have much knowledge of the Bible. This is kind of why I want to let you walk through this because you're not trying to destroy credibility of the Scriptures, you're just trying to say that there are little errors in certain translations that need to be accounted for."

So, there are "little errors in certain translations" and that doesn't destroy the credibility of the Scriptures? Notice that this is about the KJV and CA and Steve use the New King James Version (NKJV) unless they don't like what says. There are more than "little errors" in the NKJV and there are NO errors in the KJV.

At 13:49, Stevie, the editor of God's Word starts to explain. He says, "OK well, first of all we need to understand that we don't have any autographs when it comes to the Bible. Autographs means we don't have the originals that were written by, the writers. Uh, I was going to say that God is the author, the writer. Ahm, the fact is that all we have, uh of the New Testament, is copies, of copies of copies and, you know, in the process of copying, sometimes there, are errors especially. The problem becomes more complex when you realize that when, uh, there are not only multiple manuscripts but you also have many different translations from those manuscripts."

So, how is Steve doing so far in helping you to have confidence in what the Bible says?

Steve then claims he never said the King James is bad. When you say it has errors you can't say it's completely good. Can you be good and have a little evil in you? If you have just a little evil in you are you bad?

About here in the discourse is where I posted the following which appeared initially for all to see in the comments section but by the next day had been taken down.



@martinlohne5128 6 days ago

Also from Selected Messages Book One page 16.

"No man can improve the Bible by suggesting what the Lord meant to say or ought to have said."

The "no man" includes ALL of you.

Also look at Selected Message Book One page 416.

"Man is fallible, but God's Word is Infallible.

For hundred of years "infallible" in ENGLISH has meant NO error. You would be well advised to find the Bible that has no error or stop telling people they need to believe it cover to cover. Just so you know if you don't know already, your favorite "the Bible," the New King James Version has errors aplenty. In places it contradicts Protestant doctrine, Seventh-day Adventist doctrine and it contradicts the Lord's Messenger. I'd put up the King James Version against it any day and you would lose.

Here's something from someone who agrees with you.

"But even when you are assured that the Bible contains the Word of God, and nothing but the Word of God, how do you know that the translation is faithful? The Books of Scripture were originally written in Hebrew and Greek, and you have only the translation. Before you are certain that the translation is faithful you must study the Hebrew and Greek languages, and then compare the translation with the original. How few are capable of this gigantic undertaking!" Faith of Our Fathers (73rd edition) by James Cardinal Gibbons, Archbishop of Baltimore page 80.

Your talk about we only have translations and not the originals aligns perfectly with what the Roman Catholic Church would like you to believe. Essentially you are saying that we don't really know what God said. Just remember that Ellen G. White didn't know Greek or Hebrew and she said the ENGLISH Bible was infallible.

Steve then reads from one of Sister White's books to explain why it's OK to edit God's word.

"Some look to us gravely and say, 'Don't you think there might have been some mistake in the copyist or in the translators?' This is all probable, and the mind that is so narrow that it will hesitate and stumble over this possibility or probability would be just as ready to stumble over the mysteries of the Inspired Word, because their feeble minds cannot see through the purposes of God. Yes, they would just as easily stumble over plain facts that the common mind will accept, and discern the Divine and to which God's utterance is plain and beautiful, full of marrow and fatness. All the mistakes will not cause trouble to one soul, or cause any feet to stumble, that would not manufacture difficulties from the plainest revealed truth." Selected Messages Book One page 16.

There are some things Steve neglected to say. Sister White NEVER said her Bible had mistakes. She did refer to a marginal reading but she NEVER said the Bible had a mistake. In fact, in the same book Stevie quoted from she has more to say about God's Word that Stevie neglected to mention.

"No man can improve the Bible by suggesting what the Lord meant to say or ought to have said." *Ibid.*, page 16 (yes indeed, FROM THE SAME PAGE Stevie quoted from).

"Man is fallible, but God's Word is infallible." *Ibid.*, page 416.

"I take the Bible just as it is, as the Inspired Word. I believe its utterance in an entire Bible." *Ibid.*, page 17.

"Simplicity and plain utterance are comprehended by the illiterate, by the peasant, and the child as well as by the full-grown man or the giant in intellect." *Ibid.*, page 18.

Read that last quote again about the peasant, etc. You don't need a blowhard or an allegedly newer or better translation translated by men of the fallen churches to understand what God said in ENGLISH. On this web site and on www.SabbathSchoolGuide.com you can find multiple examples of how the NKJV and other new "the Bible" contradict the Lord's Messenger, Protestant doctrine, themselves and Seventh-day Adventist doctrine.

The most used Bible in Ellen White's writings is the KJV. I am aware that there is some use of the Revised Version, the American Revised Version, Leeser's translation and Noye's translation in some of her books. If she accepted their use, I have no issue with their use but I do have an issue with the publication of later editions of her books in which bibles that didn't exist when she was alive were used such as the Revised Standard Version, the NKJV, etc. Here is more that may be of interest related to this.

"They come to me, those that are copying my writings, and says 'Now here is the better revised words, and I think I will put that in.' Don't you change one word, not a word. The revised edition, we do not need at all. We have got the word that Christ has spoken Himself and given us. And don't you in my writings change a word for any revised edition. There will be revised editions, plenty of them, just before the close of this earth's history, and I want all my workers to understand, and I have got quite a number of them. I want them to understand that they are never to take the revised word, and put it in the place of the plain, simple words just as they are. They think they are improving them, but how do they know but that they may switch off an idea, and give it less importance than Christ means it to have." Manuscript 188, 1907.

See where she said, "The revised edition, we do not need at all?" That's because the KJV was all she thought we needed.

"Now what does Satan propose to do? He proposes that he is capable of changing this Bible. These parties that fell understand all about heaven, and that they can bring in the different sentiments from the Bible, and they are going to have a revision of it. You will see they will

make revisions of the Bible, but every one of us needs to stand intelligently on the Word." Manuscript 80, 1910.

Notice that in the last quote she said "this Bible." That would be the Bible she was preaching from and would have been the KJV. And how do I know what she was preaching from was the KJV? Here is something I found on the web site of the Ellen G. White Estate simply by searching "rv" the author of which was W. C. White.

"When the first revision was published, I purchased a good copy and gave it to Mother. She referred to it occasionally, but never used it in her preaching. Later on, as manuscripts were prepared for her new books and for revised editions of books already in print, Sister White's attention was called from time to time by myself and Sister Marian Davis, to the fact that she was using texts which were much more clearly translated in the Revised Version. Sister White studied each one carefully, and in some cases she instructed us to use the Revised Version. In other cases she instructed us to adhere to the Authorized Version."

This in no way gives Stevie, et. al. the right to edit God's Word, as is frequently done, when the Lord's Messenger said:

"No man can improve the Bible by suggesting what the Lord meant to say or ought to have said." *Selected Messages Book One* page 16.

Sister White far outranked myself and Stevie. Blowhards have come to think that because Sister White's writings have quotations from other than the KJV that they are authorized to use any book called "the Bible" that has been published since then as the Word of God.

At 16:17 Stevie says, "Ah, so, we need to recognize that translations, ah, reflect the biases sometimes of the translators, however, that's not a problem because you can go to other places in Scripture that clarify, that, that issue in the translation."

It's true that translations reflect the biases of the translators. Why does Steve think it's no problem when the new translations have ALL exclusively used translators from the fallen churches? Furthermore, is it true that you can take a corrupt "the Bible" and then "go to other places in Scripture that clarify that issue?" Let's see how Stevie's favorite fake "the Bible" does in clarifying an issue that's a pillar of Seventh-day Adventism, the 2,300 day prophecy, that was fulfilled in 1844. As any Seventh-day Adventist should know, Jesus STARTED His ministry in the Most Holy Place at the end of that prophecy.

"Not with the blood of goats and calves, but with His own blood He entered the Most Holy Place once for all, having obtained eternal redemption." Hebrews 9:12 (NKJV).

Hebrews was written by Paul about 2,000 years ago and Hebrews 9:12 is not a prophecy. It says, past tense, that Jesus started His ministry in the Most Holy Place before that. In accordance with Stevie's advice we'll find another passage in his fake bible of choice that clarifies that.

"And he said to me, 'For two thousand three hundred days; then the sanctuary shall be cleansed." Daniel 8:14 (NKJV).

The verse in saying "for" means that something will go on for 2,300 years and will be finished at that time. It's kind of like cleaning a dirty house. You work at cleaning it for a week and finally finish cleaning it after you've worked on it "for" a week. You have NOTHING in the NKJV that you can honestly use to teach the 2,300 day prophecy. Since Stevie thinks it's OK to mix and match "the Bible" let's try the New International Version (NIV) which is published as a study bible by Andrews University.

"He did not enter by means of the blood of goats and calves; but he entered the Most Holy Place once for all by his own blood, thus obtaining eternal redemption." Hebrews 9:12 (NIV).

"He said to me, 'It will take 2,300 evenings and mornings; then the sanctuary will be reconsecrated." Daniel 8:14 (NIV).

What do you know; you can use that "the Bible" to teach that sometime Israel will be restored as God's chosen people and that their temple will be built again and reconsecrated. It also doesn't say anything about the sanctuary being "cleansed."

Let's try the real Bible.

"Neither by the blood of goats and calves, but by his own blood he entered in once into the holy place, having obtained eternal redemption for us." Hebrews 9:12 (KJV).

"And he said unto me, Unto two thousand and three hundred days; then shall the sanctuary be cleansed." Daniel 8:14 (KJV).

Since Hebrews 9:12 says "holy place" there is no problem there and since "unto" is used instead of "for" it's clear that the sanctuary cleansing wouldn't start until 2,300 years had passed.

If you remember, this whole question from the listener started because of what Stevie had said about the twenty-four elders. At 16:41 Stevie says, "I used to believe like you did that the twenty-four elders were those who were resurrected with Jesus and went to heaven. But, you know, an that's what I taught when I taught theology in South America. But since then, I don't accept any concept or interpretation of Scripture without checking it out for myself. And so I sat down and I studied the issue of the twenty-four elders, and, there is no doubt whatsoever by all of the evidence, and by the way, ah, you can access the series on the twenty-four elders, ah, online, and on our app, I would encourage everybody to you know, download our app. Ah, you can watch all six presentations. Make sure you watch them in order. I have one entire presentation on this issue of the translations because, ah, basically, ah, the more modern versions, the only version that ses, seems to indicate that the twenty-four elders were redeemed from the earth is the King James Version. All other versions without exception say that the elders are singing about the redeemed."

Steve apparently subscribes to the theory that you take "the more modern versions" and use them to decide if the KJV is correct. Incredible. It's actually the other way around. It's hard for me to believe that a Seventh-day Adventist minister could be so blind.

Regarding the elders, I'll go with the KJV but I don't think this is a serious doctrinal issue we have to worry about. But, since Stevie thinks that if "all other versions" say something it should be accepted as truth, here's something sincere Seventh-day Adventists who are using a fake "the Bible" should look at.

"So He said to them, 'Are you thus without understanding also? Do you not perceive that whatever enters a man from outside cannot defile him, because it does not enter his heart but his stomach, and is eliminated, thus purifying all foods?" Mark 7:18-19 (NKJV).

"Are you so dull?" he asked. "Don't you see that nothing that enters a person from the outside can defile them? For it doesn't go into their heart but into their stomach, and then out of the body." (In saying this, Jesus declared all foods clean.)" Mark 7:18-19 (NIV).

"And he saith unto them, Are ye so without understanding also? Do ye not perceive, that whatsoever thing from without entereth into the man, it cannot defile him; Because it entereth not into his heart, but into the belly, and goeth out into the draught, purging all meats?" Mark 7:18-19 (KJV).

Follow the path of the food. The NKJV says food is taken from "outside" so would have to go into the mouth. Then it goes into the stomach and is finally "eliminated" (out the rear end) and is thus purified meaning it was made clean. Yes, it says the brown stuff that comes out of the body is pure. I believe the translators meant it to mean more like what the NIV says, i.e., that "Jesus declared all foods clean" but used words that could be taken the other way. "All foods clean" would include pork. The KJV says food enters a man, goes into the belly and then into the "draught" (in modern parlance that would be sewer) and says nothing about making food clean. "Purging" means getting rid of; it doesn't mean it cleans what is gotten rid of.

ALL modern versions in which I've looked, give the message that all foods are clean. You CANNOT use a modern "the Bible" version to teach that there were ANY foods considered unclean after Jesus said this (unlike what blowhards will try to tell you).

At 17:48 Stevie says, "And, ah, you know, this is resolved either by stating that the manuscripts that are used by the more modern version are the correct manuscripts, the correct reading, or there's another way of resolving it, and that is, that ah, eh, Ellen White states the angels, ah, actually, joined the redeemed, in singing the song of the redeemed." LOL. Stevie wishes to make "elders" angels.

At 19:48 Stevie says, "But, I need to make it clear that I did not say that the King James Version is bad I simply said that there's, ah, a better translation of Revelation chapter five verses nine and ten." Yeah, right. Stevie has spent all this time saying how the KJV is wrong and yet he didn't say it's bad.

At 20:05 Stevie says, "By the way, the NIV is much better on the state of the dead ah, than the King James." Another way of saying the "King James" is a bad translation without using the words "bad translation."

Since Stevie has said "the NIV is much better on the state of the dead" here's an NIV passage to look at.

"For Christ also suffered once for sins, the righteous for the unrighteous, to bring you to God. He was put to death in the body but made alive in the Spirit. After being made alive, he went and made proclamation to the imprisoned spirits—to those who were disobedient long ago when God waited patiently in the days of Noah while the ark was being built. In it only a few people, eight in all, were saved through water," 1 Peter 3:18-20 (NIV).

"For Christ also hath once suffered for sins, the just for the unjust, that he might bring us to God, being put to death in the flesh, but quickened by the Spirit: By which also he went and preached unto the spirits in prison; Which sometime were disobedient, when once the longsuffering of God waited in the days of Noah, while the ark was a preparing, wherein few, that is, eight souls were saved by water." 1 Peter 3:18-20 (KJV).

The NIV says Jesus was "made alive" (resurrected) "in the Spirit" (not in a flesh and blood body) and that after being made alive in the Spirit, he preached to spirits of the wicked people who had died in the flood. If He preached to those "spirits" of people whose bodies were dead, they must have been awake and still capable of being admitted to Paradise. What a fantastic passage to teach the doctrine of purgatory.

The KJV says Jesus was put to death "in the flesh" (in His flesh and blood body) and then made alive "by" the "Spirit" (that would be the Holy Spirit). It was by that same Holy Spirit that the antediluvians had been preached to. In 2 Peter 2:5 you can find that the antediluvians were preached to by the Holy Spirit through Noah.

The reason Stevie thinks the NIV is better on the state of the dead is because he doesn't understand what the real infallible ENGLISH Bible says about the state of the dead. That subject is covered in my review of the Sabbath School lessons for the fourth quarter of 2022 that can be found on www.SabbathSchoolGuide.com.

At 21:30 CA says, "The Bible is the early fathers in the our church determined, that as far as your faith and practice is concerned, is, it is, inerrant. As who, as how you outta think about God and how you outta live for God, no mistakes. I don't think anybody's going to miss out in the kingdom on the twenty-four elders; as least I hope they don't, uh, on that. So, it's, it's not a salvation issue. We're not going to kick you out of the church if you have a different view on the twenty-four elders. Ah, so as far as faith and practice is concerned, it's, it's solid, you can trust that you can take it, as it were, to the bank. Are there some little, you know, first John du, uh, one seven, uh, there are three of their witnesses in heaven, it's in King James and no place else. You know all kinds of things you find but no one's going to miss the kingdom because of that. So as far as how you outta think about God, how you outta relate to God and your fellow man, no problem with, with the Bible."

I agree, as far as I can tell, knowing who the twenty-four elders are is not a salvation issue. The salvation issue is that when you say the Bible has mistakes and when you use bibles that contradict themselves, each other and the inspired writings of Ellen G. White. That casts doubt on the truthfulness of all bibles and on the writings of Ellen G. White. These men are so deceived.

"It is a terrible thing to lose faith in God or in his word. Unbelief strengthens as it is encouraged. There is danger in even once giving expression to doubt; a seed is sown which produces a harvest of its kind. Satan will nourish the crop every moment." *Spirit of Prophecy* volume 4 page 349.

"The most dangerous falsehoods are those that are mingled with truth." *Patriarchs and Prophets* page 338.

"Now that Satan can no longer keep the world under his control by withholding the Scriptures, he resorts to other means to accomplish the same object. To destroy faith in the Bible serves his purpose as well as to destroy the Bible itself." *Spirit of Prophecy* volume 4 page 403.

CA mentioned 1 John 1:7. Actually, the verse he kind of quoted is 1 John 5:7. Most new "the Bible" have removed it and replace it with part of 1 John 5:8 to make it appear that nothing is missing. It is the clearest verse in the Bible that teaches the doctrine of the Trinity.

"For there are three that bear record in heaven, the Father, the Word, and the Holy Ghost: and these three are one. And there are three that bear witness in earth, the Spirit, and the water, and the blood: and these three agree in one." 1 John 5:7-8 (KJV).

"For there are three that testify: the Spirit, the water and the blood; and the three are in agreement." 1 John 5:7-8 (NIV).

There is a book titled *Communication with the Spirit World* by Johannes Greber. In it are quotations of things said by a demon speaking through a medium. Here is one of those quotations found on page 369.

"The doctrine that three persons are united in one Godhead finds its main support in the grossly falsified passage in the Epistle of John, the correct version of which reads: 'For there are three that bear witness, the Spirit, the water, and the blood: and the three of them are in accord'. (1st John 5:8.) To this has been added the spurious sentence: 'And there are three who bear witness in Heaven, the Father, the Word, and the Spirit, and these three are one'. That this entire last sentence is a spurious interpolation is a fact of which your Catholic theologians are well aware."

Do you think the demon was being helpful by pointing out a doctrinal error in the Bible? Do you think Steve and CA are being helpful when they tell you it's OK that all bibles have mistakes? Do you think it's possible Satan doesn't like 1 John 5:7, as in the KJV, because correct doctrine on the Trinity IS a salvation issue?

"Now the Spirit speaketh expressly, that in the latter times some shall depart from the faith, giving heed to seducing spirits, and doctrines of devils." 1 Timothy 4:1.

Steve adds that "sometimes the King James Version is a better version than the other ones; the modern ones." CA says, "Uh, huh." Steve then mentions "Revelation chapter eleven" where it allegedly says "time will be no longer." That's not in Revelation 11. Here it is from the real Bible and one of the fake ones.

"And sware by him that liveth for ever and ever, who created heaven, and the things that therein are, and the earth, and the things that therein are, and the sea, and the things which are therein, that there should be time no longer:" Revelation 10:6 (KJV).

"and swore by Him who lives forever and ever, who created heaven and the things that are in it, the earth and the things that are in it, and the sea and the things that are in it, that there should be delay no longer," Revelation 10:6 (NKJV).

This verse is used by Seventh-day Adventists to show that there are no prophecies dependent on time after the 2,300 day prophecy was fulfilled in 1844. The NKJV obviously doesn't teach that because there is no "time" in that verse in the NKJV.

Steve admits that the KJV is right in that verse but then says "we need to compare all of the versions" and use software to see what the Greek and Hebrew say. Do you remember what I posted and was removed by Secrets Unsealed? Here is part of it again.

"But even when you are assured that the Bible contains the Word of God, and nothing but the Word of God, how do you know that the translation is faithful? The Books of Scripture were originally written in Hebrew and Greek, and you have only the translation. Before you are certain that the translation is faithful you must study the Hebrew and Greek languages, and then compare the translation with the original. How few are capable of this gigantic undertaking!" *Faith of Our Fathers* (73rd edition) by James Cardinal Gibbons, Archbishop of Baltimore page 80.

These men apparently agree with Cardinal Gibbons. YOU DON'T NEED GREEK, HEBREW OR BLOWHARDS TO TELL YOU WHAT THE REAL ENGLISH BIBLE SAYS IN ENGLISH!

Regarding "the Bible," Dave says it's important to get people to read "whatever version they may have." Really? Why not use the real Bible that has no mistakes?

www.SatanIsDead.com www.InfallibleBible.com www.DeathConfusion.com www.AdventistsToday.com www.SabbathSchoolGuide.com